

Remark - Let $\text{ProjRes}(A) \subset \text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(A)$ subcategory of P_* such that P_i is projective for all i and $H_i(P_*) = 0$ if $i \neq 0$.

The Proposition implies that

$$H_0: \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{chain-homotopy} \\ \text{classes of morphisms}}}{h\text{ProjRes}(A)} \longrightarrow A$$

is fully faithful

A has enough projectives if every object is a quotient of a projective object (e.g. Mod_R). Then H_0 is essentially surjective, hence an equivalence. If $F: A \rightarrow B$ is an additive functor, the i -th left derived functor is:

$$L_i F: A \xrightarrow{H_0^{-1}} h\text{ProjRes}(A) \subset h\text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(A) \xrightarrow{F} h\text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(B) \xrightarrow{H_i} B$$

e.g. $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, -) = L_i(M \otimes_R -) : \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$

$\text{Ext}_R^i(-, M) = L_i \text{Hom}_R(-, M) : \text{Mod}_R \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R^{\text{op}}$

We apply the Proposition with $A = \text{Fun}(\Delta \times \Delta, Ab)$.

We have

$$\Phi_i : ([n], [m]) \mapsto \bigoplus_{p+q=i} (C_p(\Delta[n]) \otimes C_q(\Delta[m]))$$

$$\Psi_i : ([n], [m]) \mapsto C_i(\Delta[n] \times \Delta[m])$$

$$\Phi_*, \Psi_* \in \text{Ch}_{\geq 0}(A)$$

$$H_i(\Phi_*) : ([n], [m]) \mapsto H_i(C_*(\Delta[n]) \otimes C_*(\Delta[m])) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq 0.$$

$$H_i(\Psi_*) : ([n], [m]) \mapsto H_i(C_*(\Delta[n] \times \Delta[m])) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq 0.$$

Claim Φ_i, Ψ_i are projective objects in A .

$$\Phi_i : ([n], [m]) \mapsto \bigoplus_{p+q=i} C_p(\Delta[n]) \otimes C_q(\Delta[m]) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=i} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Hom}_{\Delta^{\text{ns}}}([p], [q]), ([n], [m])}]$$

$$C_p(\Delta[n]) = \mathbb{Z}[\Delta[n]_p] = \mathbb{Z}[\text{Hom}_{\Delta}([p], [n])]$$

$$\Phi_i = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} \mathbb{Z}[\text{Hom}_{\Delta \times \Delta}(\{p\}, \{q\}), -] : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow Ab$$

$$\text{Similarly } \Psi_i = \mathbb{Z}[\text{Hom}_{\Delta \times \Delta}(\{i\}, \{i\}), -] : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow Ab.$$

Now, if \mathcal{C} is a small category and $x \in \mathcal{C}$, then

$$\mathbb{Z}[\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, -)] : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Ab$$

is projective in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, Ab)$. Indeed:

$$\text{Nat}(\mathbb{Z}[\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, -)], F) \cong \uparrow \text{Yoneda} F(x)$$

If $F \rightarrow G$ an epimorphism in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, Ab)$, then

$F(y) \rightarrow G(y)$ is an epimorphism for all $y \in \mathcal{C}$.

$\Rightarrow \text{Nat}(\mathbb{Z}[\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, -)], -)$ preserves epimorphisms,
i.e. $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, -)]$ is projective.

We have $\nabla : \Phi_* \rightarrow \Psi_*$ & both induce an isomorphism on H_0 ,
 $\Delta : \Psi_* \rightarrow \Phi_*$ inverse to one another.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{Prop} \quad \Delta \circ \nabla &\stackrel{S}{\cong} \text{id} && \text{via a chain-complex } S : \Phi_* \rightarrow \Phi_{*+1} \\ \nabla \circ \Delta &\stackrel{T}{\cong} \text{id} && T : \Psi_* \rightarrow \Psi_{*+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall: Yoneda lemma: if \mathcal{C} is cocomplete, then

$$\text{Fun}(\Delta, \mathcal{C}) \cong \text{Fun}^L(\text{sSet}, \mathcal{C})$$

↑ colimit-preserving functors

Additive version: if \mathcal{C} is moreover additive, then

$$\text{Fun}(\Delta, \mathcal{C}) \cong \text{Fun}^L(\text{sAb}, \mathcal{C}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow A = \text{Fun}(\Delta \times \Delta, Ab) &\cong \text{Fun}(\Delta, \text{Fun}(\Delta, Ab)) \cong \text{Fun}^L(\text{sAb}, \text{Fun}^L(\text{sAb}, Ab)) \\ &\cong \text{Fun}^{L \times L}(\text{sAb} \times \text{sAb}, Ab) \\ &\text{preserves colimit in each variable} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \nabla, \Delta, S, T$ extend uniquely to natural transformations
between functors $\text{sAb} \times \text{sAb} \rightarrow Ab$. □

The cup product

Recall the Alexander-Whitney map:

$$AW: C_{p+q}(X \times Y) \rightarrow C_p(X) \otimes C_q(Y)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \Delta^{p+q} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \\ \parallel \\ X \times Y \end{array} \right) \mapsto \left(\pi_X \circ \sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_p]} \right) \otimes \left(\pi_Y \circ \sigma|_{[v_{p+1}, \dots, v_{p+q}]} \right)$$

$$[v_0, \dots, v_{p+q}]$$

Construction $X, Y \in \text{Top}, A, B \in \text{Ab}$

We construct a chain map

$$C^*(X, A) \otimes C^*(Y, B) \rightarrow C^*(X \times Y, A \otimes B)$$

natural in X, Y, A, B , as follows:

$$C^*(X, A) \otimes C^*(Y, B) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(C_*(X), A) \otimes \underline{\text{Hom}}(C_*(Y), B)$$

$$\downarrow \otimes$$

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y), A \otimes B)$$

$$\downarrow AW^*$$

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(C_*(X \times Y), A \otimes B) = C^*(X \times Y, A \otimes B)$$

Explicitly: if $\varphi \in C^p(X, A), \psi \in C^q(Y, B)$

$$\rightsquigarrow (\sigma: [v_0, \dots, v_{p+q}] \rightarrow X \times Y) \mapsto \varphi(\pi_X \sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_p]}) \otimes \psi(\pi_Y \sigma|_{[v_{p+1}, \dots, v_{p+q}]}).$$

If R is a ring, we have $\mu: R \otimes R \rightarrow R$.

• The external product is

$$C^p(X, R) \otimes C^q(Y, R) \rightarrow C^{p+q}(X \times Y, R \otimes R) \xrightarrow{\mu^*} C^{p+q}(X \times Y, R)$$

$$\varphi \otimes \psi \mapsto \varphi \times \psi.$$

• When $X = Y$, the cup product is

$$C^p(X, R) \otimes C^q(X, R) \xrightarrow{x} C^{p+q}(X \times X, R) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} C^{p+q}(X, R)$$

where $\delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$ is the diagonal.

This is denoted by $\varphi \otimes \psi \mapsto \varphi \cup \psi$ or $\varphi \psi$:

$$(\varphi \psi)(\sigma: [v_0, \dots, v_{p+q}] \rightarrow X) = \varphi(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_p]}) \psi(\sigma|_{[v_{p+1}, \dots, v_{p+q}]})$$

Since all these maps are chain maps, we get induced pairings:

$$H^p(X, A) \otimes H^q(Y, B) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(X \times Y, A \otimes B)$$

$$H^p(X, R) \otimes H^q(Y, R) \xrightarrow{\times} H^{p+q}(X \times Y, R)$$

$$H^p(X, R) \otimes H^q(X, R) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^{p+q}(X, R)$$

Lemma The cup product makes $C^*(X, R)$ and $H^*(X, R)$ into graded rings.

- Pf:
- Multiplicative unit is the constant cochain $1 \in C^0(X, R) = \text{Hom}(C_0(X), R)$
 - Associativity: if $\varphi \in C^p, \psi \in C^q, \chi \in C^r$ then

$$\varphi(\psi \chi) = (\Delta^{p+q+r} \xrightarrow{\sigma} X) \mapsto \varphi(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_p]}) \psi(\sigma|_{[v_{p+1}, \dots, v_{p+q}]} \chi(\sigma|_{[v_{p+q+1}, \dots, v_{p+q+r}]}) \quad \square$$

Proposition Suppose R is a commutative ring. Then the cohomology ring

$H^*(X, R)$ is graded-commutative, i.e.:

$$\alpha \beta = (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|} \beta \alpha \quad (|\alpha| = n \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in H^n(X, R))$$

In particular, if α has odd degree, then $2\alpha^2 = 0$.

Pf: Pick representatives $\alpha \in C^p(X, R), \beta \in C^q(X, R), n = p+q$.

Let $\sigma: \Delta^n \rightarrow X, \Delta^n = [v_0, \dots, v_n]$.

$$(\alpha \beta)(\sigma) = \alpha(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_p]}) \beta(\sigma|_{[v_{p+1}, \dots, v_n]})$$

$$(\beta \alpha)(\sigma) = \alpha(\sigma|_{[v_q, \dots, v_n]}) \beta(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_q]})$$

Define $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma|_{[v_n, \dots, v_0]}$ so $\bar{\sigma}|_{[v_0, \dots, v_p]} = \sigma|_{[v_n, \dots, v_q]}$

$$p_n: C_n(X) \rightarrow C_n(X)$$

$$\sigma \mapsto \epsilon_n \bar{\sigma} \quad \text{where } \epsilon_n = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2}$$

We have:

$$((\alpha \circ p_p)(\beta \circ p_q))(\sigma) = \varepsilon_p \varepsilon_q \alpha(\sigma|_{[v_p, \dots, v_0]}) \beta(\sigma|_{[v_n, \dots, v_q]})$$

$$((\beta \alpha) \circ p_n)(\sigma) = \varepsilon_n \alpha(\bar{\sigma}|_{[v_q, \dots, v_n]}) \beta(\bar{\sigma}|_{[v_0, \dots, v_q]})$$

$$\varepsilon_n = (-1)^{pq} \varepsilon_p \varepsilon_q$$

Claim: p is a chain map and is chain-homotopic to id .

$$\Rightarrow [\alpha\beta] = [(\alpha \circ p_p)(\beta \circ p_q)] = (-1)^{pq} [(\beta \alpha) \circ p_n] = (-1)^{pq} [\beta \alpha]$$

p is a chain map: $\sigma: \Delta^n \rightarrow X$:

$$p d\sigma = p \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n]} \right) = \varepsilon_{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \sigma|_{[v_{n-1}, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_0]}$$

$$d p\sigma = \varepsilon_n \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \bar{\sigma}|_{[v_0, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_n]} = \varepsilon_n \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \sigma|_{[v_n, \dots, \hat{v}_{n-i}, \dots, v_0]}$$

These are equal, since $\varepsilon_n = (-1)^n \varepsilon_{n-1}$.

p is chain homotopic to identity:

$$\Delta^n \times I: \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \uparrow \\ \text{[Diagram of a prism with vertices } v_0, \dots, v_n \text{ and } w_0, \dots, w_n \text{]} \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \quad \pi: \Delta^n \times I \rightarrow \Delta^n$$

define $T: C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(X)$

$$T(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \varepsilon_{n-i} (\sigma \pi)|_{[v_0, \dots, v_i, w_n, \dots, w_i]}$$

↑
(n+1)-simplex $\subset \Delta^n \times I$

Direct computation: $dT + Td = p - \text{id}$. (see Hatcher Thm. 3.11) □