

# K-THEORY OF DUALIZABLE CATEGORIES (AFTER A. EFIMOV)

MARC HOYOIS

We explain the definition of the K-theory of “large” stable  $\infty$ -categories, due to Alexander Efimov. These notes are based on a talk given by Efimov at the CATS5 conference in Lisbon in October 2018.

We start by fixing some terminology. We shall refer to  $\infty$ -categories as categories. We write  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}$  for the category of stable presentable categories and colimit-preserving functors,  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}^{\text{dual}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}$  for the subcategory of dualizable objects and right-adjointable morphisms (with respect to the symmetric monoidal and 2-categorical structures of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}$ ), and  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}^{\text{cg}} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}$  for the subcategory of compactly generated categories and compact functors (i.e., functors whose right adjoints preserve filtered colimits). A *localization sequence* in any such category will mean a cofiber sequence  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  where  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is fully faithful.

It is well known that  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}^{\text{cg}}$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}^{\text{dual}}$ . In fact:

**Theorem 1** (Lurie). *For  $\mathcal{C}$  a stable presentable category, the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{C}$  is dualizable in  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{C}$  is a retract in  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}$  of a compactly generated category.
- (3) The colimit functor  $\text{colim}: \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  admits a left adjoint  $\hat{y}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ .

Note that the colimit functor  $\text{colim}: \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is left adjoint to the Yoneda embedding  $y: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ , which is fully faithful. Hence, the functor  $\hat{y}$  is also fully faithful. When  $\mathcal{C}$  is compactly generated,  $\hat{y}$  is the Ind-extension of the inclusion  $\mathcal{C}^\omega \subset \mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a presentable stable category. The *Calkin category*  $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$  is the kernel of the colimit functor  $\text{colim}: \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}$  is dualizable. Then:*

- (1)  $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Ind}(\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})^\omega)$ .
- (2) *The inclusion  $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$  admits a left adjoint*

$$\Phi: \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})$$

*that preserves compact objects.*

- (3) *There is a localization sequence of cocomplete stable categories*

$$\mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\hat{y}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{colim}} \end{array} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\Phi} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Calk}(\mathcal{C}).$$

*Proof.* The left adjoint  $\Phi$  is the cofiber of the counit transformation  $\hat{y} \circ \text{colim} \rightarrow \text{id}$ . It preserves compact objects because its right adjoint preserves colimits. Since  $\Phi$  is essentially surjective,  $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})$  is generated under colimits by  $\Phi(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})^\omega$ , and the first assertion follows.  $\square$

Note that  $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})^\omega$  is a locally small but *large* category, so that  $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})$  is not presentable (unless  $\mathcal{C} = 0$ ).

**Definition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{RSt}}$  be dualizable. The *continuous K-theory* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the space

$$\mathbf{K}_{\text{cont}}(\mathcal{C}) = \Omega\mathbf{K}(\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})^\omega).$$

**Lemma 5.** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is compactly generated, then  $\mathbf{K}_{\text{cont}}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}^\omega)$ .*

*Proof.* In this case, the localization sequence of Proposition 3 is Ind of the sequence

$$\mathcal{C}^\omega \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})^\omega.$$

Since  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ , the result follows from the localization theorem in K-theory.  $\square$

More generally, we can define a continuous version of any localizing invariant. In the case of K-theory, we made use of the fact that K-theory is defined on the large category  $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})^\omega$ , but this is not the case for an abstract localizing invariant. Thus, we will need to use a presentable version of the Calkin category, which depends on the choice of a large enough regular cardinal.

**Definition 6.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a presentable stable category and  $\kappa$  a regular cardinal. The  $\kappa$ -Calkin category  $\text{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\kappa)$  is the kernel of the colimit functor  $\text{colim}: \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

If  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}$  is dualizable, there exists a regular cardinal  $\kappa$  such that the fully faithful functor  $\hat{y}: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$  lands in  $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\kappa)$ . We shall then say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\kappa$ -dualizable, and we let  $\mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\kappa\text{-dual}} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\text{dual}}$  be the full subcategory spanned by the  $\kappa$ -dualizable categories. We have

$$\mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\text{dual}} = \bigcup_{\kappa} \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\kappa\text{-dual}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\kappa\text{-dual}} \subset \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\kappa\text{-cg}}.$$

For example, a stable presentable category is  $\omega$ -dualizable if and only if it is compactly generated. Given  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\kappa\text{-dual}}$ , we have a localization sequence

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\hat{y}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{colim}} \end{array} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\kappa) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Phi_\kappa} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$$

in  $\mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}$ , where  $\Phi_\kappa$  is the restriction of  $\Phi$ , and this diagram is functorial in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that  $\text{Calk}_\kappa: \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\kappa\text{-dual}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\text{cg}}$  preserves localization sequences.

Let  $\text{Cat}_{\text{St}}^{\text{idem}}$  be the category of small stable idempotent complete categories and exact functors. Recall that Ind-completion induces an isomorphism  $\text{Cat}_{\text{St}}^{\text{idem}} \simeq \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\text{cg}}$ . If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a category, we shall say that a functor  $F: \text{Cat}_{\text{St}}^{\text{idem}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is a *localizing invariant* if it preserves final objects and sends localization sequences to fiber sequences.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a category and  $F: \text{Cat}_{\text{St}}^{\text{idem}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  a localizing invariant. Let  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}$  be dualizable and let  $\kappa \leq \lambda$  be uncountable regular cardinals such that  $\hat{y}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\kappa)$ . Then the inclusion  $\text{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Calk}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})$  induces an isomorphism*

$$F(\text{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})^\omega) \simeq F(\text{Calk}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})^\omega).$$

*Proof.* Applying  $\text{Calk}_\kappa$  to the localization sequence (7) for  $\lambda$  gives a localization sequence

$$\text{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Calk}_\kappa(\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\lambda)) \rightarrow \text{Calk}_\kappa(\text{Calk}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})).$$

On the other hand, applying (7) to  $\text{Calk}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})$  gives a localization sequence

$$\text{Calk}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\text{Calk}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})^\kappa) \rightarrow \text{Calk}_\kappa(\text{Calk}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})).$$

Hence, we obtain isomorphisms

$$F(\text{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})^\omega) \simeq \Omega F(\text{Calk}_\kappa(\text{Calk}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}))^\omega) \simeq F(\text{Calk}_\lambda(\mathcal{C})^\omega),$$

natural in  $\lambda$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

Given Lemma 8, the following definition makes sense:

**Definition 9.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a category and  $F: \text{Cat}_{\text{St}}^{\text{idem}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  a localizing invariant. The *continuous extension* of  $F$  is the functor  $F_{\text{cont}}: \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\text{dual}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  defined by

$$F_{\text{cont}}(\mathcal{C}) = \Omega F(\text{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})^\omega),$$

where  $\kappa$  is any uncountable regular cardinal such that  $\hat{y}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\kappa)$ .

More formally, we can consider  $(\text{Calk}_\kappa)_\kappa$  as a functor

$$\mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\text{dual}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ind}}(\mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\text{cg}}) \simeq \widehat{\text{Ind}}(\text{Cat}_{\text{St}}^{\text{idem}})$$

and compose it with the  $\widehat{\text{Ind}}$ -extension of  $\Omega F$ , the result landing in the subcategory  $\mathcal{T} \subset \widehat{\text{Ind}}(\mathcal{T})$  by Lemma 8. In the case of K-theory, Definition 9 agrees with Definition 4 since  $\text{Calk}(\mathcal{C})^\omega = \text{colim}_\kappa \text{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})^\omega$  and K-theory commutes with filtered colimits.

We shall say that a functor  $F: \mathcal{P}\text{r}_{\text{St}}^{\text{dual}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is a *localizing invariant* if it preserves final objects and sends localization sequences to fiber sequences.

**Theorem 10** (Efimov). *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a category. The functor*

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{dual}}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Cat}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{idem}}, \mathcal{T}), \quad F \mapsto F \circ \mathrm{Ind},$$

*restricts to an isomorphism between the full subcategories of localizing invariants, with inverse  $F \mapsto F_{\mathrm{cont}}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{cg}}$ , then  $F_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{C}) = F(\mathcal{C}^\omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a localization sequence in  $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{dual}}$ . Then for large enough  $\kappa$  we have an induced localization sequence

$$\mathrm{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}).$$

It follows that  $F_{\mathrm{cont}}$  is a localizing invariant. The proof of Lemma 5 shows that  $F_{\mathrm{cont}} \circ \mathrm{Ind} \simeq F$ , functorially in  $F$ . To  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{dual}}$  we can associate the filtered diagram of localization sequences

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathrm{Calk}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}), \quad \kappa \gg 0,$$

which immediately gives a functorial isomorphism  $F \simeq (F \circ \mathrm{Ind})_{\mathrm{cont}}$ .  $\square$

**Example 11.** An example of a localizing invariant  $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{dual}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$  is the functor sending a dualizable category to its Euler characteristic. In fact, this functor is the continuous extension of  $\mathrm{THH}: \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{idem}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ , since  $\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{C})$  is the Euler characteristic of  $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$  in  $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}$ .

**Example 12.** Theorem 10 implies the following:

- $\mathrm{THH}_{\mathrm{cont}}: \mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{dual}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$  factors through the stable category  $\mathrm{CycSp}$  of cyclotomic spectra;
- $\mathrm{TP}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathrm{THH}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{C})^{t\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\mathrm{TC}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{C})$  is the mapping spectrum  $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CycSp}}(\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{THH}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{C}))$ ;
- $\Omega^\infty(\mathbb{K}_{\mathrm{cont}}) \simeq \mathbb{K}_{\mathrm{cont}}$ , where  $\mathbb{K}$  is nonconnective K-theory;
- the cyclotomic trace  $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}$  extends uniquely to a transformation  $\mathbb{K}_{\mathrm{cont}} \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}_{\mathrm{cont}}$ .

One immediately recovers the following excision theorem of Tamme:

**Corollary 13** (Tamme). *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

*be a commutative square in  $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{cg}}$ , cartesian in  $\mathrm{Cat}$ , such that the right adjoint of  $g$  is fully faithful. For any stable category  $\mathcal{T}$  and any localizing invariant  $F: \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{idem}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , the induced square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathcal{A}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & F(\mathcal{B}^\omega) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(\mathcal{C}^\omega) & \longrightarrow & F(\mathcal{D}^\omega) \end{array}$$

*is cartesian.*

*Proof.* Note that the right adjoint of  $f$  is also fully faithful. The kernels of  $f$  and  $g$  are dualizable and isomorphic. Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is stable,  $F_{\mathrm{cont}}$  takes the given square to a cartesian square.  $\square$

For the next lemma, note that each subcategory  $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\kappa\text{-dual}} \subset \mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}$  is closed under small colimits, hence  $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{dual}} \subset \mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}$  is closed under small colimits.

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a category,  $F: \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{idem}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  a localizing invariant, and  $\mathcal{K}$  a small category. Then  $F$  preserves  $\mathcal{K}$ -indexed colimits if and only if  $F_{\mathrm{cont}}$  preserves  $\mathcal{K}$ -indexed colimits.*

*Proof.* This follows easily from (7) and the fact that  $\mathrm{Ind}: \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{idem}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}$  preserves colimits.  $\square$

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff topological space and  $\mathcal{C}$  a dualizable stable presentable category.*

- (1) (Lurie)  $\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{C})$  is dualizable in  $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{St}}$ .

- (2) (Efimov) *Suppose that  $\mathrm{Shv}(X)$  is hypercomplete (for example,  $X$  is a topological manifold). Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a stable compactly generated category and  $F: \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{idem}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  a localizing invariant that preserves filtered colimits. Then*

$$F_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{C})) \simeq \Gamma_c(X, F_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{C})).$$

*In particular,*

$$F_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Shv}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{C})) \simeq \Omega^n F_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{C}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  denote the poset of compact subsets of  $X$ . We write  $K \Subset L$  if  $K \subset U \subset L$  for some open subset  $U \subset X$ . Since filtered colimits in  $\mathcal{T}$  are left exact, there is a fully faithful embedding

$$e: \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{T}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{K}(X)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{T}), \quad e(\mathcal{F})(K) = \mathrm{colim}_{K \subset U} \mathcal{F}(U),$$

identifying  $\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{T})$  with the subcategory of functors  $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{K}(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that

- (a)  $\mathcal{G}(\emptyset) = *$ ;
- (b) for every  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , the following square is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}(K \cup L) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(K) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(K \cap L); \end{array}$$

- (c) for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , the canonical map  $\mathcal{G}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{K \Subset L} \mathcal{G}(L)$  is an isomorphism.

Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is stable,  $e$  preserves colimits. Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{K}(X)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{T})$  be the full subcategory of functors satisfying conditions (a) and (b). Define the endofunctor  $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{G}^\dagger$  of  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{K}(X)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{T})$  by the formula

$$\mathcal{G}^\dagger(K) = \mathrm{colim}_{K \Subset L} \mathcal{G}(L).$$

One can easily show that the functor  $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{G}^\dagger$  sends  $\mathcal{E}$  to itself. It follows that the inclusion  $e(\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{T})) \subset \mathcal{E}$  has a right adjoint given by  $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{G}^\dagger$ , which exhibits  $\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{T})$  as a retract of  $\mathcal{E}$  in  $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is clearly compactly generated, this shows that  $\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{T})$  is dualizable. In particular,  $\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Sp})$  and hence also  $\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathrm{Sp}) \otimes \mathcal{C}$  are dualizable.

Consider the functor  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{K}(X)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{dual}}$  defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(K) = \mathrm{Shv}(K, \mathcal{C}).$$

Since  $F_{\mathrm{cont}}$  is a stable localizing invariant that preserves filtered colimits (by Lemma 14),  $F_{\mathrm{cont}} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  satisfies conditions (a)–(c). Hence,  $F_{\mathrm{cont}} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{C}} = e(\mathcal{F})$  for some  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{T})$ . Let  $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$  be the constant presheaf on  $X$  with value  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the obvious map

$$F_{\mathrm{cont}} \circ \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

induces isomorphisms on stalks. Since  $\mathrm{Shv}(X)$  is hypercomplete and  $\mathcal{T}$  is compactly generated, it exhibits  $\mathcal{F}$  as the sheafification of  $F_{\mathrm{cont}} \circ \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ . It remains to show that

$$(16) \quad \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}) \simeq F_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{C})).$$

This is obvious if  $X$  is compact. In general, choose a compactification  $j: X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$  with closed complement  $i: X_\infty \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  be the sheaf on  $\bar{X}$  such that  $e(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = F_{\mathrm{cont}} \circ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ . Then  $j^*(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq \mathcal{F}$  and hence there is a fiber sequence

$$\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\bar{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X_\infty, i^*\tilde{\mathcal{F}}).$$

On the other hand, the sequence

$$\mathrm{Shv}(X, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{j_!} \mathrm{Shv}(\bar{X}, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathrm{Shv}(X_\infty, \mathcal{C})$$

is a localization sequence in  $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{dual}}$ . Applying  $F_{\mathrm{cont}}$  proves (16).  $\square$

**Remark 17.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a small stable rigid symmetric monoidal category, for example  $\mathrm{Perf}_k$  for some  $E_\infty$ -ring spectrum  $k$ . Then Theorem 10 remains true if we replace  $\mathrm{Cat}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{idem}}$  by  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathrm{Cat}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{idem}})$  and  $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{dual}}$  by  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{E})}(\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{St}}^{\mathrm{dual}})$ . The rigidity of  $\mathcal{E}$  ensures that  $\hat{y}$  and  $\Phi$  are  $\mathcal{E}$ -linear functors. A similar generalization of Theorem 15 holds.